OOA | GALLERY CONTEMPORARY AFRICAN ART



COLLECTOR'S GUIDE / ENGLISH EDITION

How to Collect Contemporary African Art

Michel de Jong Vázquez

October 2026

Last updated: November 2026

OOA GALLERY

A guide to collecting contemporary African art

Contents

From a first encounter with an artwork to the future of a collection.

Introduction 3

I. Defining Your Vision: What Kind of Collection Do You Want to Build? 5

II. Situating an Artwork Within an Artist's Practice: Distinctiveness, Evolution and Significance 11

III. Understanding the Price of an Artwork: What the Market Reveals and What It Does Not 16

IV. Choosing an Artwork for Your Collection: Relevance, Dialogue and Coherence 22

V. Acquiring with Confidence: Provenance, Authenticity and Essential Checks 26

VI. Documenting an Artwork: Preserving Its History and Traceability 32

VII. The Role of Galleries and Art Advisors: Expertise, Guidance and Transparency 37

VIII. Allowing a Collection to Evolve: From Acquisition to Long-Term Engagement 44

Conclusion – Collecting with Discernment, Building for the Long Term 51

10 Essential Questions Before Acquiring an Artwork 52

Introduction

An encounter with an artwork can be the beginning of a lasting relationship. A composition captures our attention, an image provokes an unexpected response, or an artist's approach opens up a new way of seeing. Such encounters often provide the first impulse to collect. Yet building a collection involves more than responding to individual works. It requires developing a considered relationship with art, one in which intuition is gradually enriched by knowledge, experience and reflection.

Collecting is not simply about assembling works we admire. It involves learning to look more closely, understanding the artistic enquiries that shape an artist's practice, recognising connections between works and developing the confidence to make choices that reflect our own interests. A collection gradually acquires its character through these decisions, including those occasions when we choose not to acquire.

This process takes on particular significance in contemporary African and diasporic art. The term encompasses a remarkable diversity of practices, generations, histories and individual experiences. Artists working across the African continent and its diasporas do not share a single aesthetic language or a common set of concerns. Their work must therefore be approached with attention to its specific artistic and cultural contexts, without allowing geographical categories or assumptions about identity to determine how it is understood.

As contemporary African art has gained international visibility, collectors have also encountered a growing range of information about artists: exhibitions, institutional acquisitions, critical publications, gallery presentations, auction results and market commentary. These developments can contribute to a fuller understanding of an artistic practice, but they can also create expectations that deserve careful examination. Recognition is relevant; it is not a substitute for looking at the work itself.

At OOA Gallery, we believe that informed collecting begins with personal judgement, but that such judgement rarely develops in isolation. Conversations with artists, galleries, curators and other art professionals can deepen a collector's understanding, challenge initial assumptions and reveal aspects of an artwork that might otherwise remain overlooked.

We regard this exchange as an important part of a gallery's role. Beyond presenting artworks and facilitating acquisitions, a gallery can help collectors understand the evolution of an artist's practice, explain the significance of individual works within that practice and provide information that allows artistic and practical considerations to be assessed more fully.

This advisory relationship nevertheless exists within the commercial realities of the art market. Galleries have responsibilities towards the artists they represent and a legitimate interest in sustaining their activities. Their interests and those of an individual collector may not always coincide, particularly when market conditions become difficult.

At OOA Gallery, we believe that meaningful advice begins with recognising this complexity rather than pretending it does not exist. Trust does not depend on the absence of commercial interests, but on the quality of the information shared, the clarity with which recommendations are explained and the freedom retained by collectors to form their own conclusions.

The role of a gallery is therefore not to replace personal judgement, but to contribute to its development. A constructive relationship allows room for questions, differing interpretations and decisions that reflect the collector's own priorities.

How, then, can we recognise the distinctive qualities of an artwork? How can we understand its place within an artist's development, or assess its price without confusing artistic significance with market value? What should we verify before acquiring a work, and how can we preserve the information needed to understand its history in the future?

This guide brings together practical considerations and reflections on the questions that arise when building and caring for a collection. It is intended for those approaching their first acquisition as well as collectors seeking to refine their judgement, deepen their knowledge or bring greater coherence to an existing collection.

Our intention is not to prescribe a particular collecting philosophy or suggest that every acquisition should follow an identical method. Rather, it is to provide a framework that encourages curiosity, careful observation and thoughtful decision-making.

A collection built to last is not defined by the expectation of financial appreciation or by the prestige of the names it contains. Its deeper significance lies in the relationships developed with artworks, the understanding that accompanies their acquisition and the care taken to preserve their histories. Collecting, in this sense, becomes an ongoing process of discovery, interpretation and responsibility.

I. Defining Your Vision: What Kind of Collection Do You Want to Build?

Before considering an artwork's artistic significance or whether its price is appropriate, a collector faces a more personal question: what do I hope to explore, understand or express through collecting?

The motivations behind a collection can be remarkably diverse. Some collectors develop a sustained interest in a particular artistic scene, generation or medium. Others are drawn to questions of memory, identity, social experience or the relationship between materials and meaning. Many begin without a clearly articulated direction, acquiring works through encounters that gradually reveal underlying interests.

None of these approaches is inherently superior. A collection may develop through sustained research, intuitive discoveries or a combination of the two. Its identity may also change substantially over time.

At OOA Gallery, we consider defining a vision less a matter of establishing a fixed acquisition programme than of understanding the interests that give individual choices their meaning. The aim is not to eliminate spontaneity, but to develop sufficient self-awareness to recognise when a work genuinely contributes to a collector's evolving perspective.

Collecting Through Conviction, Curiosity or Artistic Affinity

The first step is to identify what draws us to particular artworks and what sustains our interest beyond the initial encounter.

The attraction may arise from a distinctive visual language, an artist's handling of colour or materials, an exploration of personal or collective memory, or an unexpected way of representing a familiar subject. Sometimes it is more immediate and difficult to explain: a work simply continues to occupy our thoughts.

Such responses are not secondary to intellectual understanding. Emotion, aesthetic pleasure and curiosity are legitimate foundations for collecting. A collection does not become more meaningful merely because every work can be justified through an elaborate theoretical explanation.

At the same time, learning to articulate our preferences can deepen our appreciation. Why does a particular work command our attention? What distinguishes it from other works by the same artist? Are we responding to its individual qualities, to the questions raised by the artist's practice, or partly to the recognition the artist has already received?

These distinctions become particularly important when an artist's market visibility is growing. The knowledge that a work is sought after by other collectors can influence our perception of it, sometimes without our recognising that influence.

One useful exercise is to imagine encountering the artwork without knowing its price, the artist's exhibition history or the reputation of the gallery presenting it. Would the work retain the same appeal? What would we want to understand about it before making a decision?

These questions are not intended to diminish the importance of external information. Rather, they help distinguish personal conviction from expectations shaped by reputation or market attention.

An informed collecting perspective also develops through dialogue.

In an interview published during the *Africa Supernova* exhibition at Kunsthal KAdE, collectors Carla and Pieter Schulting described how their exploration of contemporary African art involved extensive research and conversations with gallery owners. They explained that these exchanges sometimes led them to reconsider their initial choices. Reflecting on their experience, they observed:

> *"At the end of the day, it's all about looking, listening, and learning."* [1]

Their experience illustrates an important principle. A collector's independence does not depend on forming every judgement alone. Listening to informed perspectives can expand one's understanding, provided that the final decision remains grounded in personal conviction.

A gallery can contribute to this process by introducing artists whose work may not initially seem aligned with a collector's preferences, explaining unfamiliar techniques or artistic references, and helping distinguish an immediate attraction from a more sustained interest.

The value of such exchanges lies not necessarily in confirming an existing preference, but sometimes in challenging it. An explanation of an artist's process, an opportunity to compare works from different periods or a discussion about artistic intentions may alter how a collector understands what they see.

The collector remains free to accept or question these interpretations.

Over time, this combination of personal experience and informed dialogue can lead to clearer criteria, greater confidence and a more sustained engagement with artistic practices. Such confidence need not diminish the pleasure of unexpected discoveries. Indeed, a more developed understanding can make those encounters even more rewarding.

Defining a Focus Without Being Confined by It

A collection may develop around a geographical region, an artistic generation, a medium, a particular theme or a broader intellectual enquiry. It may also bring together works whose connections become apparent only after several years.

Within contemporary African and diasporic art, the distinction between a collecting focus and a restrictive category deserves particular attention.

An artist's place of birth, education, professional development and cultural references may involve several countries or communities. These dimensions can contribute to an understanding of their practice, but none provides a complete explanation of their artistic identity.

Collecting works by artists from the same country does not, in itself, establish artistic coherence. Conversely, artists working in different geographical or cultural contexts may address related questions through highly individual approaches.

Consider, for example, two artists whose work engages with urban experience.

In Ghanaian painter Larry Otoo's semi-abstract compositions, markets and other scenes of everyday life become expressions of colour, rhythm and collective movement. His pictorial language draws attention not only to the subjects represented but also to the visual energy and relationships that structure the scene.

Armand Boua, working in Côte d'Ivoire, approaches urban life through a profoundly different material and figurative language. His work has long been concerned with the “Invisibles”: the street children of Abidjan whose presence, although part of everyday urban life, is increasingly overlooked. Through partially erased silhouettes, distressed surfaces and torn materials, Boua explores the experience of social invisibility. The fragile, sometimes almost elusive presence of his figures invites us to look again at those whom society has ceased to notice.

Where Otoo evokes the vitality of markets through colour and collective movement, Boua turns his attention towards the people who remain unseen amid the activity of the city. Their works thus offer two markedly different perspectives on urban experience.

A collector interested in the city as a subject might find a meaningful dialogue between such works. But that connection would need to rest on an examination of the artworks themselves, rather than on the assumption that their shared African context makes them artistically equivalent.

This example illustrates how a collecting direction can emerge from a question rather than a category: how do artists translate the experience of urban life into visual form? What does a city reveal about the people who inhabit it, and what might it conceal? How do materials, composition and representation shape different understandings of that experience?

Such questions can lead collectors towards artists working across generations, countries or media, without requiring the collection to abandon its underlying focus.

Our view at OOA Gallery is that a thoughtful collecting direction should provide a basis for discovery, not become an obstacle to it. A collection initially centred on painting may be enriched by sculpture, photography or textile work. An artist whose practice seems unfamiliar may challenge assumptions that have shaped previous acquisitions.

A gallery's contribution can be particularly valuable when it helps collectors recognise these possibilities. Yet no gallery programme can encompass the full diversity of

contemporary artistic practice. Collectors benefit from visiting different galleries and exhibitions, reading widely and encountering perspectives that extend beyond the artists presented by any single institution.

A collection should remain open to reconsideration.

An acquisition that initially appears distant from earlier choices may eventually reveal an important connection. Conversely, a work that corresponds perfectly to an established collecting theme may add little to the questions the collector wishes to pursue.

Coherence therefore need not imply uniformity. It may emerge through contrasts, tensions and unexpected relationships, as well as through continuity.

The purpose of defining a focus is not to decide in advance which works belong in a collection. It is to develop a sufficiently clear perspective to recognise why particular works matter, while remaining receptive to discoveries that may transform that perspective.

Balancing Ambition, Resources and Time

Building a collection also involves considering the practical circumstances that will allow it to develop responsibly.

Budget is an obvious consideration, but it should not be confused with ambition or artistic seriousness. A carefully selected group of works acquired over several years may provide greater opportunities for sustained engagement than a collection expanded rapidly without a clear sense of direction.

It is useful to distinguish the resources devoted to acquiring artworks from those required for transport, installation, framing, conservation, insurance and, where appropriate, specialist advice. These commitments vary according to the nature of the works and the circumstances of ownership, but they form part of the responsibilities of collecting.

Time is no less important.

Some collectors acquire works frequently, while others devote considerable periods to visiting exhibitions, following artists, reading publications and comparing works before deciding to purchase. Neither pace guarantees better choices.

What matters is allowing sufficient time for reflection when the circumstances require it, without assuming that every acquisition must result from a prolonged process.

An unexpected encounter may justify a relatively spontaneous decision, especially when the collector already possesses a developed understanding of the artist or practice concerned. But urgency should not become a substitute for judgement.

This distinction is particularly relevant in a market where certain works may be available only briefly, and where collectors can feel pressure to act before having obtained adequate information.

It is also important to recognise that urgency is not always artificial. An artwork may genuinely attract several interested collectors, or its availability may be affected by an exhibition, an artist's commitments or other circumstances. Understanding these conditions can help a collector assess the situation without allowing them alone to determine the decision.

The gallery's perspective forms part of this process, although it is not identical to that of the collector.

A commercial gallery must sustain its activity, meet its commitments and support the artists whose work it presents. During periods of market uncertainty, when sales become less predictable, these responsibilities can acquire particular weight. Economic considerations may therefore influence the circumstances in which works are offered and recommendations are made.

Recognising these realities is more useful than assuming that gallery advice is entirely detached from commercial interests.

At OOA Gallery, we believe that the value of an advisory relationship rests not on claiming complete independence from the market, but on making the basis of a recommendation understandable. This includes explaining our assessment of an artist's practice, the qualities we consider significant in a particular work and the information available about its history and conditions of acquisition.

Such explanations should allow collectors to distinguish verifiable information from interpretation and to understand where uncertainty remains.

Artistic judgement is not purely objective, and different informed observers may reach different conclusions about the same work. A gallery's perspective can be valuable without being definitive.

For the collector, the essential question is not whether advice comes from someone without commercial interests, but whether the information and reasoning offered help them make a decision consistent with their own priorities.

A sustained relationship between gallery and collector can develop through this exchange. Its value lies in accumulated knowledge, familiarity with the collector's interests and the possibility of discussing artistic choices with increasing depth and mutual understanding.

Collectors, for their part, benefit from reviewing their priorities periodically.

An interest that once guided their acquisitions may become less compelling. New artistic encounters may reveal previously unexplored directions. Changes in personal circumstances, available resources or the practical responsibilities associated with existing works may also influence future decisions.

Allowing a collection to evolve does not mean abandoning its identity. It means recognising that its character is shaped by the collector's changing interests and growing experience.

Ultimately, defining a vision involves learning to recognise which works genuinely reflect one's aspirations, understanding what each acquisition may contribute and accepting that not every compelling work needs to be owned.

A collection gains depth not simply through the works it contains, but through the discernment with which they are chosen and the relationships that develop around them. The collector's perspective remains central, strengthened by knowledge, open to dialogue and sufficiently independent to follow its own direction.

Note

[1] Carla and Pieter Schulting, “Inside ‘Africa Supernova’: An Exclusive Interview with Carla and Pieter Schulting”, *Africans Column*, 2023. The quotation appears in their response to the first question, concerning the development of their collection and their conversations with gallery owners.

Read the original interview.

II. Situating an Artwork Within an Artist's Practice: Distinctiveness, Evolution and Significance

Not all works by an artist necessarily occupy the same place within their practice. Some reflect concerns that have been present for several years, others introduce new lines of enquiry or reflect a period of experimentation. Still others may, in retrospect, emerge as significant stages in the evolution of an artistic practice.

But what does it really mean to describe an artwork as significant?

Such an assessment does not rest on a single criterion. A work may be particularly representative of an artistic approach, remarkable for its formal qualities, significant in the development of an artistic language or essential to understanding a particular period. These different forms of significance should not be conflated, nor do they provide a basis for any universal hierarchy.

For collectors, the aim is less to identify a work presumed superior to others than to understand what distinguishes it, what it reveals about the artist's practice and why it deserves particular attention.

Looking at the Artwork Before Considering Reputation

The first step is to spend time with the work itself, regardless of the artist's reputation.

Looking closely means moving beyond immediately recognisable subject matter to consider how the work is constructed. In painting, composition, relationships between colours, variations in texture, gestures and tensions among different elements all contribute to a particular visual experience. In photography, framing, lighting, staging or image treatment may play a decisive role. In sculpture, the choice of materials, volumes, balance and relationship to space deserve similar attention. In installations, video works or performance-based practices, the organisation of space, the passage of time, relationships with audiences or the unfolding of an action may likewise be essential aspects of the artistic experience.

These features are not simply a checklist of technical qualities. Their importance lies in how they relate to the artist's intentions and lines of enquiry.

A deliberately pared-back painting may possess considerable intensity, while a complex composition is not necessarily more accomplished. Likewise, an uneven surface or seemingly spontaneous execution does not necessarily indicate a lack of technical mastery: both may be integral to the artist's visual language.

We should therefore avoid applying identical standards of virtuosity, finish or complexity to every work. The question is not simply whether a work demonstrates

technical mastery, but how its formal choices contribute to the experience and meaning it offers.

Where possible, this observation is best undertaken in conditions appropriate to the work's presentation. A reproduction or digital documentation may make a work easier to discover, but cannot always fully convey its materiality, scale, relationship to space or temporal dimension.

Direct experience, however, is not sufficient on its own. Our perception can be enriched by knowledge of artistic references, the intentions expressed by the artist and the contexts in which the work was made. It is therefore important to distinguish between what we actually observe, what we know about the work and what we interpret.

This distinction is fundamental: an interpretation can be insightful without necessarily reflecting an intention the artist has explicitly expressed.

Situating the Work Within an Artistic Practice

An assessment of a work becomes richer when it is considered in relation to the artist's wider body of work.

To do so, it is useful to examine works from different periods, identify recurring concerns and observe how the artist's forms, materials or subjects change over time.

Some practices develop through relatively continuous lines of enquiry. Others are marked by breaks, changes of medium or experiments that, at first glance, appear far removed from earlier work. Such shifts do not necessarily represent linear progress and should not automatically be interpreted as advances or setbacks.

When an artist works in series and repeatedly returns to the same subject or formal approach, individual works may appear similar. Yet variations in composition, scale, technique or treatment can substantially alter the significance of individual works.

Consider an artist developing a series of portraits characterised by a direct, frontal presentation. A work introducing fragmentation of the face or a new organisation of space might signal an important experiment. But that difference alone would not establish that the work occupies a decisive place in the artist's enquiry. We would need to examine whether the change was isolated, continued in subsequent works or responded to a question the artist had been exploring for some time.

Conversely, a work that revisits an established artistic language may offer a particularly compelling expression of it without introducing any identifiable innovation.

The distinction between experimentation and accomplishment is essential. A significant work is not necessarily one that introduces something new. It may also reflect sustained exploration or offer a distinctive articulation of existing concerns.

To situate a work more accurately, collectors can therefore consider what links it to the artist's other works, what distinguishes it within a series and what those differences allow us to observe.

Exhibition catalogues, studio archives, interviews with the artist and critical essays can help inform this analysis. Where such resources are limited, careful comparison of the available works remains useful, provided that some conclusions are recognised as provisional.

Particular caution is needed with descriptions such as "pivotal work", "major work" or "decisive turning point". Using them convincingly requires sufficiently extensive knowledge of the artist's practice.

Understanding an Artist's Trajectory, with Particular Attention to Emerging Artists

Assessing a work cannot be entirely separated from the practice and trajectory of its creator. Yet an artist's career cannot be reduced to the number of exhibitions they have held or the prestige of the institutions that have presented their work.

An artistic trajectory is shaped by many kinds of experience: training, individual artistic exploration, collaborations, residencies, exhibitions, publications, exchanges with other artists and encounters with different audiences.

These elements can shed light on how a practice develops. Their significance, however, varies according to context.

This distinction is particularly important when considering emerging artists. A practice that has received little exposure can be artistically rigorous without yet enjoying widespread institutional recognition. Opportunities for training, production, documentation and the circulation of artworks vary considerably depending on the environments in which artists work.

Across African and diasporic art scenes, artistic trajectories may develop through local networks, independent spaces, collective initiatives or transnational collaborations, whose visibility to international collectors varies considerably.

The task, then, is to examine the artistic practice itself: what questions is the artist exploring? How is their enquiry changing? Which artistic, historical or personal references inform their work, and how do they reinterpret them?

Originality deserves particular consideration here. It does not necessarily mean inventing an entirely unprecedented artistic language. An approach may derive its distinctiveness from reinterpreting existing forms, bringing together references from different contexts or establishing a new relationship with a material or a particular history.

It is also important not to expect African or diasporic artists invariably to address questions of identity, politics or culture associated with their origins. These concerns

may be central to some practices, but they should not become implicit criteria of artistic legitimacy.

For collectors, following an emerging artist can therefore be a particularly rewarding intellectual endeavour. It allows them to observe an artistic enquiry as it unfolds, understand the questions driving it and encounter works before their critical reception is widely established.

Such proximity to a developing practice nonetheless entails uncertainty. An artistic trajectory may involve interruptions, shifts in direction or periods of reduced visibility. Appreciating an artist's current work does not allow us to predict their future recognition.

The notion of artistic potential should therefore remain a reasoned hypothesis, not a promise.

Interpreting Recognition Without Equating It with Artistic Quality

Institutional recognition, critical publications, museum acquisitions and inclusion in notable collections all provide useful information about how an artist's work has been received.

They must, however, be examined carefully.

Participation in a group exhibition and a solo exhibition surveying several periods of an artist's work do not offer the same insight into their trajectory. Likewise, the mention of an institution in a biography can refer to very different circumstances: participation in a programme, a temporary presentation, the loan of a work or an actual acquisition for a permanent collection.

Such distinctions help collectors understand what forms of recognition are being described, rather than relying on the presumed authority of the names cited.

Critical writing merits similar scrutiny. An in-depth analysis published in an exhibition catalogue does not necessarily serve the same purpose as promotional writing or a brief mention in the media.

These sources can illuminate the reception of an artistic practice, but may also reflect curatorial perspectives, institutional choices or the limitations of their time.

Recognition is never permanently secured. Art history shows that some practices have been reassessed after long periods of relative obscurity, while others have experienced a more fluctuating reception.

Collectors are therefore well advised to treat signs of recognition as contextual information, to be considered alongside the works and artistic enquiries that have given rise to them.

They can thus distinguish three levels of information: verifiable facts about the artist's trajectory, critical interpretations of their work and hypotheses about their future development.

This distinction supports better-informed judgement without claiming to eliminate the subjective dimension of artistic appreciation.

Developing Your Own Informed and Open-Minded Judgement

Ultimately, assessing a work means bringing several forms of attention into dialogue: sensory experience, observation of its formal qualities, knowledge of the artist's practice and critical examination of the available information.

One simple method is to compare a few works by the same artist before forming an assessment. What recurs from one to another? What changes in their composition, use of materials or treatment of subject matter? Do these differences appear to relate to a discernible line of enquiry, or do they remain open to multiple interpretations?

Collectors can then consider what makes the work in question distinctive to them, and what further information might help clarify its place within the artist's practice.

Some questions will remain without definitive answers. That need not be an obstacle to acquiring the work. What matters most is knowing whether a conclusion rests on direct observation, established information or personal interpretation.

Developing one's eye therefore means identifying what distinguishes a work, understanding its place within an artistic enquiry and testing one's impressions against the available knowledge. This approach does not eliminate the subjectivity of judgement, but helps make it more self-aware, precise and better reasoned.

III. Understanding the Price of an Artwork: What the Market Reveals and What It Does Not

The price of an artwork is often one of the first pieces of information a collector seeks. It can influence an acquisition decision, provide a basis for comparing different works or determine whether an artwork falls within the available budget.

Yet unlike many goods whose prices are based on relatively standardised characteristics, artworks possess individual qualities that make their economic valuation more complex.

An artwork's price reflects several factors: its characteristics, the artist's trajectory, the conditions under which it is offered for sale, collector demand and, in some cases, its transaction history. These factors interact in ways that cannot be reduced to a universal formula.

Understanding a price therefore requires distinguishing what it actually tells us from what we might be tempted to infer from it. A high price does not demonstrate greater artistic significance. Conversely, an accessible price does not mean that an artwork is of lesser interest.

For collectors, the challenge is to learn to interpret the available economic information without allowing it to determine their artistic judgement.

How Is an Artwork's Price Established?

In the contemporary art market, pricing depends both on the artist's practice and on the context in which their works are offered.

Material characteristics generally constitute a first set of factors. Dimensions, medium, materials, production conditions and whether a work is unique or part of an edition can all help explain differences in price.

A large-scale painting may, for example, be offered at a higher price than a smaller work by the same artist. However, this relationship is neither systematic nor proportional. A modestly sized work may occupy a particularly interesting place within an artist's enquiry or possess characteristics that clearly distinguish it from other works.

Medium should be considered with similar caution. Paintings, works on paper, photographs, sculptures and installations may follow different pricing conventions. These conventions do not establish a hierarchy of artistic value between media.

For editioned works, including certain photographs, sculptures and digital artworks, the edition size, the possible existence of artist's proofs and the conditions of production may also influence pricing. Being part of an edition does not, in itself,

diminish an artwork's artistic significance, but it changes the factors to consider when assessing its availability and how it is offered on the market.

The period in which a work was created is another important factor. Works from different periods are not necessarily sought after to the same extent. Some belong to particularly well-documented lines of artistic enquiry, while others reflect experiments that are more rarely encountered on the market.

Provenance, condition and exhibition history may also influence an artwork's price, particularly when it has already passed through several owners.

Finally, the artist's professional trajectory and demand for their work contribute to their market positioning. Exhibitions, publications, institutional acquisitions and collector interest may play a role in price developments, without constituting direct measures of artistic quality.

These factors need to be considered in relation to one another. Two works of comparable dimensions, created during the same period by the same artist, may differ in price because of their medium, history or particular characteristics.

What matters is understanding the reasons for these differences rather than assuming they automatically reflect a hierarchy of artistic significance.

The Primary Market: Bringing Artworks to Market for the First Time

The primary market concerns the first sale of an artwork, generally made by the artist directly or through a gallery representing them.

In this context, prices are usually established with reference to the artist's trajectory, the characteristics of the works and the way their production is progressively positioned within the market.

When a gallery works with an artist over an extended period, it can help establish a relatively consistent pricing structure across works of comparable media, dimensions and periods.

This consistency is valuable to collectors. It makes price differences easier to understand and allows them to observe how an artist's market positioning evolves over time.

Production costs, together with expenses associated with presenting, documenting and marketing artworks, form part of the economics of this activity. They do not, however, determine prices mechanically, which also depend on the artist's market positioning and demand for their work.

High production costs are not, in themselves, an indication of artistic significance. The gallery's remuneration, when acting as a representative or intermediary, also forms part of this economic structure.

Similarly, a price increase may accompany the development of an artist's career without following automatically from an additional exhibition, a new commercial representation arrangement or a temporary rise in demand.

A measured pricing policy can help maintain trust between the artist, gallery and collectors. It does not, however, guarantee future price stability or the existence of a resale market.

For collectors, one particularly useful question is how the proposed price compares with those of other comparable works by the same artist.

It is also reasonable to ask whether the price is consistent with the artist's usual market positioning, what explains any differences and which commercial terms apply to the acquisition.

A price provided upon request is not, in itself, a sign that anything is amiss. Nevertheless, collectors should be able to obtain clear information about the asking price and the terms of the transaction before making a commitment.

It is equally important to clarify the currency, the treatment of applicable taxes and any costs excluded from the quoted amount. Where an acquisition involves more than one country, delivery arrangements and any import formalities should be clarified before the transaction.

The Secondary Market: Interpreting Sales and Their Results

The secondary market concerns artworks that have already been sold at least once and are subsequently offered for resale.

These transactions may take place through galleries, dealers, auction houses or private sales.

Contrary to a common misconception, the secondary market is therefore not limited to public auctions. A proportion of transactions take place privately, which means that the information available to collectors does not necessarily reflect the full extent of market activity.

Auctions nevertheless have an important distinguishing feature: certain sale results are made public, allowing observers to see the prices achieved by identifiable artworks on particular dates.

These results can provide useful points of comparison, provided that their precise meaning is understood.

An estimate published before an auction represents a preliminary assessment of an anticipated price range. It is neither a guarantee nor the price at which the work will ultimately sell.

The hammer price is the amount of the accepted bid. It differs from the amount paid by the buyer, to which a buyer's premium and potentially other fees or taxes are generally added.

Published auction results may include the buyer's premium. It is therefore essential to check whether the figures being compared are hammer prices or prices inclusive of the buyer's premium.

An artwork reported as having achieved a particular amount at auction will not necessarily have generated that same amount for its seller once commissions and other applicable expenses have been deducted.

Results must also be considered in context. A sale may be influenced by competition among bidders, the work's provenance, its relative rarity, the timing of the auction or broader market conditions.

An exceptional result does not mean that every work by the same artist can subsequently be sold at a comparable level. Conversely, a hammer price below expectations does not necessarily demonstrate a lasting decline in interest in the artist's work.

Unsold works also provide information worth considering. Some lots are offered subject to a reserve price, generally confidential, representing the minimum amount the seller is prepared to accept. A work may therefore remain unsold because bidding failed to reach that threshold, without this alone establishing its value or providing a precise measure of the interest it attracted.

Finally, the existence of public auction results does not guarantee that an artist has a sufficiently active secondary market to support reliable comparisons. For many artists, particularly those whose works circulate primarily through galleries or private collections, publicly accessible data remains limited.

The absence of auction results may indicate that works circulate mainly through private transactions, that resale activity is limited or simply that no public transactions have been documented. On its own, it does not justify conclusions about their artistic interest or recognition.

Comparing Prices Without Jumping to Conclusions

Comparison is a useful tool for understanding a price, but its relevance depends on the characteristics of the works being compared and the quality of the available information.

When considering several acquisition opportunities, collectors will often gain the most useful perspective by starting with sufficiently comparable works by the same artist.

Date of creation, medium, dimensions, whether a work is unique or editioned, condition, provenance and the context of sale should all be considered together.

Imagine two paintings created by the same artist a few years apart. The first, relatively modest in size, belongs to a series that has been featured in several documented exhibitions. The second, larger work is part of a more recent line of enquiry whose critical reception is not yet well established.

Size might explain part of the difference in their prices. But it would not, on its own, establish which should command the higher asking price. Their respective places within the artist's body of work and the conditions under which they are offered for sale would also merit consideration.

This caution becomes even more important when comparing different artists.

Two painters from the same generation, working in the same country or represented at the same art fair, do not necessarily have comparable artistic trajectories, markets or pricing structures.

In contemporary African and diasporic art, the diversity of production and distribution contexts makes comparisons based solely on geographical origin particularly reductive.

Similarly, an auction result for a historical or exceptionally rare work does not necessarily provide a relevant benchmark for assessing a recent work by the same artist.

It is also important to distinguish the asking price from the price actually paid. A work advertised at a particular amount has not necessarily been sold for that sum, and the terms of a private transaction are not always public.

Comparison cannot, therefore, produce an indisputable valuation. Its purpose is rather to identify differences, understand their possible causes and formulate more precise questions.

Anticipating the Full Cost of an Acquisition

An artwork's advertised price does not always represent the total cost of acquiring and owning it.

Depending on the work's location, its destination and the terms of sale, additional costs may arise: professional packing, transport, transit insurance, customs procedures, applicable taxes or installation.

Some artworks also involve particular display or conservation expenses.

A painting may require appropriate framing, while a large sculpture may call for specialised handling or installation. A complex installation or video work may require equipment, software, technical instructions or maintenance arrangements that should be understood before acquisition.

These considerations should not discourage collectors, but help them understand the responsibilities associated with owning an artwork.

Insurance also deserves particular attention. The value used to insure an artwork does not necessarily correspond to its likely resale price. Depending on the policy, it may be based on an agreed value, an updated valuation or specific compensation arrangements.

Conservation is another aspect to anticipate. Some materials are sensitive to light, humidity, fluctuations in temperature or handling. Others require particular forms of technical monitoring.

Pre-acquisition checks and the responsibilities associated with conservation will be addressed in greater detail in subsequent chapters.

At this stage, the essential point is to recognise that the cost of an acquisition extends beyond its initial purchase price.

A collection budget should therefore take account not only of the funds available for acquisitions, but also of the resources needed to preserve artworks in appropriate conditions.

Assessing a Price Without Equating an Acquisition with an Investment

An artwork may form part of a collector's assets, but the art market has characteristics that make valuation and resale particularly uncertain.

Unlike markets in which transactions are frequent and information is widely available, the sale of an artwork may depend on a limited number of potential buyers, particular conditions of presentation and a timetable that is difficult to anticipate.

An artwork may have been purchased at a clearly established price without it being possible to determine with certainty how much it could subsequently achieve on resale.

It is therefore important to distinguish between the acquisition price, a possible market valuation and the amount actually realised upon resale. These three figures relate to different circumstances and do not necessarily coincide.

Commissions, sale-related expenses and market conditions may also reduce the net proceeds received by the seller.

This uncertainty affects emerging artists, but it does not disappear with institutional recognition or commercial prominence.

For collectors, it is legitimate to consider the economic prospects of an acquisition. It would nevertheless be imprudent to base a decision primarily on the expectation of a future price increase.

A more considered approach is to understand the basis of the asking price, examine the available information and determine whether the work retains personal and artistic interest independently of any potential resale.

Understanding an artwork's price does not mean reducing its value to a number. It means learning to interpret the economic mechanisms surrounding its circulation, distinguishing verifiable information from expectations and making acquisition decisions grounded in considerations that extend beyond market prospects.

IV. Choosing an Artwork for Your Collection: Relevance, Dialogue and Coherence

Assessing an artwork's distinctiveness and understanding its price are two important steps in an acquisition decision. But a third question deserves consideration: what place might this work occupy within a collection?

This question does not imply that a collection must follow a rigid curatorial programme. Rather, it encourages us to look beyond the individual qualities of each acquisition and consider how a work might relate to other artworks, reflect the collector's interests and contribute to the directions they wish to explore.

An artwork may be particularly interesting without necessarily finding its place within an existing collection. Conversely, an acquisition that initially seems far removed from previous choices may open up unexpected perspectives.

The aim, therefore, is not to seek unity at every turn, but to understand what each new work brings to a collection as it takes shape.

Moving Beyond Mere Accumulation

A collection is not defined solely by the number or individual quality of the works it contains. It can also be understood as an ensemble in which successive acquisitions establish relationships, whether explicit or more subtle.

Whether it develops intuitively or around a focused line of enquiry, a collection may gradually give rise to connections between the works it contains.

It is therefore useful to consider what a new artwork might contribute to the collection as a whole.

Does it deepen an existing line of enquiry? Does it introduce an artistic language not yet represented? Does it offer a different perspective on a subject explored by several artists in the collection? Or does it primarily reflect a personal interest worth pursuing independently of previous acquisitions?

These questions help identify the different ways in which a work might contribute to a collection.

Acquiring several works by the same artist may, for example, make it possible to follow the evolution of their practice, compare different periods or examine variations within a series. This approach can provide a depth of understanding that owning a single work may not necessarily offer.

However, acquiring more works by one artist does not, in itself, guarantee a better understanding of their practice. The value of such an approach depends in particular

on the distinctiveness of each work and the relationships that can be established between them.

Similarly, introducing work by an artist not previously represented in a collection may broaden its horizons, but the diversity of artists represented is not, in itself, a measure of curatorial quality.

A collection can therefore gain depth both through a concentration of acquisitions in certain areas and through an openness to different artistic practices. These approaches are not mutually exclusive: they represent different ways of exploring artworks and the relationships between them.

This reflection is equally useful when a collection is only beginning to take shape. There is no need to own a substantial number of works before considering the place of an acquisition. A first artwork may simply establish a starting point without predetermining the direction of subsequent choices.

The relevance of a decision is therefore measured neither by its conformity with earlier acquisitions nor by its ability to fill a perceived gap. It depends on what the collector seeks to explore more deeply, discover or bring into dialogue.

When choosing between several works that inspire a comparable degree of interest, a collector can consider what each might allow them to explore, reconsider or discover. One may enrich an existing line of enquiry, while another may open up a new direction. This reflection does not necessarily lead to an obvious answer, but it helps determine which acquisition seems most appropriate at that particular stage of the collecting journey, including when the decision is to wait.

Understanding Dialogues Between Artworks

The relationships between artworks are among the most compelling aspects of building a collection.

These dialogues can take different forms. Some works share thematic or conceptual concerns. Others reveal formal affinities, similarities in their treatment of materials or contrasting ways of using the same medium.

Relationships may also be historical. A contemporary work might reinterpret forms, images or artistic approaches developed in other contexts. Conversely, two works created during the same period may offer very different responses to comparable questions.

Consider, for example, a photograph documenting changes in an urban landscape and a sculpture made from salvaged construction materials. Although their artistic languages differ, these works could invite a shared reflection on the transformation of places, how they are used and the traces they retain.

The connection between them would rest less on an identical subject than on two different ways of approaching the transformation of places: one through representation, the other through the material qualities of the elements employed.

Such connections must nevertheless be established with discernment. Sharing a material, a theme or a geographical origin is not sufficient to establish a substantive artistic relationship.

A dialogue constructed within a collection does not necessarily imply a direct connection or influence between the artists themselves. It may emerge from the collector's perspective, provided that the connection is grounded in a close reading of the works.

In the context of contemporary African and diasporic art, this distinction is particularly important. Two artists from the same country may develop practices with no meaningful relationship to one another. Conversely, artists working in very different environments may share questions or references that deserve to be examined together.

Historical, cultural and geographical contexts remain important when they illuminate the works. They should not, however, be used to impose connections that are not supported by the artistic practices themselves.

A collection can also accommodate contradictions.

Works expressing divergent views on the same subject, employing opposing aesthetic approaches or engaging differently with a historical question can enrich a collector's reflection.

Curatorial coherence does not, therefore, necessarily depend on agreement between works. It may also emerge from the tensions and questions that arise when they are brought into dialogue.

At the same time, there is no need to seek a common meaning for every acquisition. Some works may retain a degree of autonomy within a collection. Their presence may reflect a personal encounter or a particular interest, without immediately requiring a curatorial narrative connecting them to other works.

A dialogue between artworks is not always apparent at the moment of acquisition. It may emerge gradually as the collection grows and its owner's perspective evolves.

From this standpoint, a collection can be understood as a set of evolving relationships rather than a composition whose every element has been determined in advance.

Keeping a Record of Your Choices

Building a collection can also be enriched by the reflections that accompany each acquisition. Preserving a record of these motivations makes it possible, with hindsight, to understand the reasons behind a collector's choices and the gradual evolution of their perspective.

A short note written at the time of purchase might record what attracted the collector's attention: a particular artistic enquiry, a connection with other works, an interest in a specific period of an artist's practice or the discovery of a visual language previously underrepresented in the collection. It may also mention questions that remain open.

The purpose is not to justify every acquisition through an elaborate curatorial argument. Rather, it is to distinguish observations, available knowledge and personal interpretations, without retrospectively presenting a decision as the outcome of a fully developed analysis.

These notes may evolve over time. An artwork initially acquired for certain aesthetic qualities may gradually invite other readings. New reflections can then complement the original observations without necessarily replacing them.

Distinct from the administrative and technical documentation discussed later in this guide, this personal and curatorial record helps trace the evolution of a collection. It can also help convey part of the collection’s history to those who may eventually study it, share it with others or ensure its continuity.

Ultimately, choosing an artwork for a collection means considering what it contributes beyond its mere presence. Whether it deepens an existing enquiry, introduces a contrast or opens up previously unexplored artistic territory, its relevance emerges through the relationship between its individual qualities, the collector’s perspective and the other works around it.

V. Acquiring with Confidence: Provenance, Authenticity and Essential Checks

Acquiring an artwork involves more than considering its artistic significance, its relevance to a collection or the reasons behind its price. It also requires sufficiently reliable information about the work's identity, origin, condition and the circumstances in which it is offered for sale.

These checks do not necessarily imply distrust of the seller. They form part of a responsible approach that serves the interests of the collector while also respecting the artwork and its history.

Their nature and extent, however, depend on the circumstances. Acquiring a recently created work directly from the artist or their representing gallery generally raises different questions from purchasing a work that has passed through several owners, sometimes in different countries.

The aim is therefore not to apply an identical procedure to every acquisition, but to identify the essential information, understand what it can establish and recognise situations that call for further investigation.

Identifying the Artwork and Understanding Its Provenance

The first step is to ensure that the artwork being offered is correctly identified.

Essential information generally includes the artist's name, title, date of creation, medium, materials, dimensions and, where applicable, a signature or other identifying marks. For an editioned work, its number within the edition and the relevant edition details should also be specified.

This information is best accompanied by photographs that allow the work to be identified accurately. Depending on its nature, images of the reverse, details, inscriptions or individual components may also be useful.

It is also worth checking that the information recorded on the artwork is consistent with the available documentation: title, date, medium, dimensions, photographs or numbering, as applicable. A discrepancy does not necessarily mean that information is incorrect, but it should be clarified before acquisition.

Identification provides a starting point, but does not, on its own, establish the history of ownership.

Provenance traces, as far as the available information allows, the successive ownership of an artwork and, where relevant, the intermediaries or locations through which it has passed.

For a contemporary work acquired directly from the artist or their representing gallery, this history may be relatively straightforward. It may consist simply of the creation of the work, its possible placement with the gallery and its first acquisition.

In the secondary market, the investigation may become more complex. Earlier invoices, inheritance documents, sale catalogues, gallery archives and correspondence can help reconstruct the different stages of a work's history.

It is important, however, to distinguish provenance from exhibition history. The fact that a work was shown at an institution may help document its history without necessarily establishing who owned it at the time.

Documented provenance offers several benefits. It can help confirm the identity of an artwork, facilitate checks concerning its circulation and preserve information that may be important for future research.

An incomplete provenance is not, however, automatically suspicious. Some private transactions are not publicly disclosed, archives may have been lost and documentation practices vary across periods and contexts.

What matters is understanding the nature of any gaps, the reasons that might explain them and which checks can reasonably be undertaken.

A further question must be distinguished from ownership history: is the person or entity offering the work actually authorised to sell it?

A gallery may sell an artwork it owns or act on behalf of an artist or collector. Both arrangements are common, but they require clarification of the gallery's role and the commitments it makes to the buyer.

Understanding an artwork's history and verifying the right to sell it are therefore two complementary aspects of due diligence.

Verifying Authenticity and Understanding the Role of Documentation

Authenticity concerns whether an artwork can be attributed to the artist identified as its creator.

In contemporary art, this question may appear relatively straightforward when a work is acquired directly from its creator or from a gallery officially working with the artist. Such a relationship generally makes it easier to obtain information establishing the work's origin.

The situation may be different when a work is offered for resale, particularly if there are gaps in its history or if it has not been documented directly by the artist.

Several sources may contribute to an assessment of authenticity: studio archives, historical photographs, invoices, exhibition catalogues, inventories, statements from the artist or, where appropriate, opinions from recognised specialists.

A certificate of authenticity may also be an important document.

Its significance depends, however, on who issued it, what it contains and how clearly it can be connected to the artwork in question. A document issued by the artist, their studio or a recognised authority on their work does not necessarily carry the same weight as a statement from an intermediary with no documented connection to the artist's practice.

It is therefore useful to establish who issued the certificate, when it was issued, what information supports it and whether the details recorded correspond to the work being offered.

The presence of a certificate does not, in itself, provide an absolute guarantee. Conversely, the absence of a certificate does not necessarily cast doubt on a work's authenticity, particularly when its origin is established through other reliable evidence.

Signatures require similar caution. Some artists consistently sign their works, while others do so irregularly or use different methods of identification. A signature may contribute to an assessment, but its presence or absence must be interpreted in the context of the artist's practice.

For editioned works, the stated edition details should be verified: edition size, numbering, any artist's proofs and the arrangements under which the edition was authorised.

Installations, video works, digital artworks and certain conceptual practices can raise additional questions. An acquisition may involve a set of files, physical components, certificates, instructions or contractually defined rights. It is therefore essential to understand exactly what is being acquired and under what conditions the work may be displayed, maintained or reinstalled.

Ownership of an artwork must also be distinguished from the intellectual property rights associated with it. Acquiring a physical artwork does not automatically transfer the rights to reproduce it or use images of it. These rights and any permissions relating to them must be considered separately, in accordance with the relevant contracts and applicable laws. The right to exhibit a work may also be subject to specific provisions.

Authentication therefore depends on a combination of corroborating information rather than a single formality. Where circumstances warrant it, an independent expert assessment may provide additional insight before a decision is made.

Examining Condition and Material Requirements

An informed acquisition also requires an understanding of the artwork's condition at the time of sale.

This does not mean seeking a physically perfect work in every case. Certain irregularities, alterations or vulnerabilities may form part of the creative process or result from the artist's deliberate choices.

It is therefore important to distinguish characteristics intrinsic to the artwork from subsequent changes or deterioration.

For a painting, an examination may focus on the support, painted surface, distortions, areas of material loss or previous restoration. In sculpture, joins, structural elements, stability and the characteristics of the materials may require particular attention.

A condition report records observations about an artwork's physical condition at a particular point in time.

Depending on the circumstances, this document may be prepared by a gallery professional, a specialist or a conservator-restorer. Its significance depends in part on the expertise of its author, the examinations undertaken and any limitations identified.

A condition report does not guarantee the absence of every possible problem, but it provides a useful reference for understanding the known condition of the work before acquisition.

Previous restoration does not necessarily mean that an artwork should be rejected. Where possible, however, it is important to understand the nature and extent of the intervention and any implications for its future conservation.

Certain contemporary practices require particular attention.

Works made using organic, unstable, reclaimed or industrial materials may behave differently over time. Similarly, technological installations and digital artworks may depend on hardware and software that could become obsolete.

For such works, collectors may need information about display conditions, maintenance, the replacement of particular components or the possibility of technological migration, where this is envisaged or authorised.

These characteristics should not automatically be regarded as defects. They may be integral to the artist's visual language. Understanding them simply helps collectors anticipate the responsibilities associated with owning the work.

Where the medium, value or physical condition of an artwork warrants it, seeking advice from an independent conservator-restorer may be appropriate.

The aim remains to understand what is being acquired, its condition and any particular conservation requirements.

Clarifying the Terms of Sale and Transfer

Beyond the artwork's identity and characteristics, collectors need to understand the practical terms of the transaction.

The agreed price, currency, applicable taxes, additional charges and payment arrangements should be clearly established before making a commitment.

This is particularly important for international acquisitions, where the place of sale, the location of the artwork and its destination country may differ.

Delivery arrangements also deserve clarification. Who is responsible for packing and transport? What insurance covers the journey? When does ownership of the artwork

pass to the buyer, and from what point does the buyer bear the risk of loss or damage? Are any export or import formalities required?

These matters may vary according to the transaction and its contractual terms. However, mandatory legal provisions may also govern the respective responsibilities of seller and buyer, regardless of the terms proposed.

It is also important to identify the seller's commitments concerning the attribution of the work, its condition, their title to the artwork and the remedies available if difficulties arise.

Written evidence of the sale – whether an invoice, receipt or contract, depending on the circumstances – together with clearly established terms, is essential. The legal effect of these documents depends on the applicable law and the commitments agreed between the parties.

Collectors can also ask which documents will be provided upon acquisition: a certificate where one exists, available provenance records, technical information or specific display instructions.

The following chapter will explain how to organise and preserve this documentation over time.

Any statutory rights of withdrawal, returns permitted by the seller and remedies available in cases of non-conformity must also be distinguished. Their existence and scope may depend on the applicable legislation, the legal status of the parties and the method of sale.

A relationship built on trust does not remove the need for contractual clarity. On the contrary, explicit terms help reduce ambiguity and establish each party's responsibilities.

Recognising Warning Signs and Collecting Responsibly

Certain circumstances call for closer scrutiny before an acquisition.

Contradictory information about an artwork's identity, vaguely presented provenance, documents whose origins cannot be explained or persistent difficulties in identifying the person authorised to sell the work may warrant additional checks.

Similarly, unusual pressure to complete a transaction quickly, unexplained changes to payment arrangements or a refusal to provide essential information may lead a collector to suspend their decision.

Such circumstances are not automatically evidence of wrongdoing.

Legitimate confidentiality may surround certain private collections. Gaps in documentation may also have ordinary explanations. The challenge is to distinguish what can reasonably be clarified from what remains insufficiently established.

A prudent approach involves asking further questions, cross-checking the available information and, where necessary, seeking independent professional advice.

Research in archives, catalogues and certain databases of stolen artworks may also be relevant in particular circumstances.

However, the absence of an artwork from a stolen-art register does not constitute definitive proof of lawful provenance. Such databases do not necessarily record every missing work or every work subject to a claim.

A collector's responsibility also has an ethical dimension.

The history of the international circulation of artistic and cultural objects includes complex circumstances, notably acquisitions made in colonial contexts, the forced removal of cultural objects and the illicit trafficking of cultural property.

These issues should not lead us to conflate contemporary artworks produced and sold today with historical or heritage objects whose circulation may raise different concerns.

They nevertheless underline the importance of examining the origins of artworks, the circumstances of their transfer and any legal restrictions or claims that may apply to them.

Depending on the country, the nature of the work and its history, specific rules may govern its export, import or movement. Where such questions arise, appropriate legal verification is preferable to relying on general assumptions.

Responsible collecting also means respecting the work of artists and the commitments associated with their artworks, particularly where installation, reproduction or alteration requires specific permission.

Due diligence, however, is not measured by the number of documents requested. It rests on checks proportionate to the circumstances and on the ability to recognise the limits of the available information.

In some situations, uncertainties may remain despite the investigations undertaken. Collectors must then be able to assess their significance and decide, with an informed understanding of the circumstances, whether to proceed with, postpone or abandon the acquisition.

Acquiring with confidence does not mean eliminating all uncertainty. It means having sufficiently reliable information to understand an artwork, its history, its condition and the commitments associated with owning it. Such care helps protect the collector while preserving the traceability and integrity of artworks over time.

VI. Documenting an Artwork: Preserving Its History and Traceability

An artwork is more than its visible or material form. It also has a history: the circumstances of its creation, its exhibitions, its successive owners and the different contexts in which it has been presented or studied.

Some of this information may be lost over time. Correspondence goes missing, archives become difficult to access and details known at the time of acquisition may no longer be available several years later.

Compiling an artwork's documentation helps preserve this history and facilitates its future management.

The aim is not to gather as many documents as possible, but to retain relevant, identifiable information organised well enough to be retrieved and understood.

For a private collector, this process can remain relatively straightforward. What matters is establishing a reliable connection between the artwork, the information relating to it and the events that shape its history.

Gathering the Essential Acquisition Documents

An artwork's documentation begins with accurate identification.

A summary record can bring together the essential information: the artist's name, title, date of creation, medium, materials, dimensions, the work's number within the edition where applicable, and photographs that allow the work to be identified.

This record may also specify the date and method of acquisition, as well as the artwork's current location. Such information should be kept up to date, particularly when a work is moved, loaned or transferred.

Assigning an internal inventory number to each acquisition also makes identification easier. This number serves to link different documents and photographs to the same artwork, particularly as a collection grows or includes several works with similar characteristics.

It can appear on the documentation record and in associated files without requiring the artwork itself to be physically marked.

This summary record provides the central reference point for the artwork's documentation, but it does not replace the original documents.

It is particularly important to retain evidence of the terms of acquisition: an invoice, receipt or contract, depending on the circumstances, proof of payment and any specific conditions agreed with the seller.

Certificates of authenticity, where they exist, and available provenance documents should also be preserved, together with information identifying who issued them and when.

For an acquisition on the secondary market, sale catalogues, correspondence or records relating to previous owners may supplement the documentation.

These documents do not all carry the same legal or documentary significance. Their usefulness depends in particular on their origin, content and ability to shed light on the artwork's history.

Where a document contains contradictory or incomplete information, it is preferable to retain the original version and add an explanatory note rather than silently altering the existing record.

A correction to a title, date or medium may be entirely justified. Recording the source and date of that correction nevertheless makes it possible to understand how the artwork's identification has evolved.

The documentation should therefore allow future readers to trace not only the information considered accurate at a given time, but also the evidence on which it is based.

Preserving Artistic Context and the Artwork's History

Commercial and administrative documents represent only part of an artwork's history.

Understanding a work may also depend on information about the artist's practice, the circumstances of its creation and the different critical interpretations it has received.

An artwork's documentation may therefore include statements by the artist, interviews, publications, exhibition catalogues, bibliographic references and photographic archives.

Not all these sources will necessarily be equally relevant.

A general biography can help situate an artist's trajectory, but an interview in which the artist discusses the particular series to which the work belongs may provide more directly useful information. Similarly, a catalogue reproducing the artwork offers a different kind of documentary reference from a publication addressing the artist's practice more broadly.

For each important source, retaining a sufficiently precise reference – author, title, date, page number or web address, as appropriate – facilitates future verification. Where possible and permitted, preserving copies of relevant digital resources can also help prevent useful information from disappearing.

The same attention to accuracy applies to exhibition history.

An artist's participation in an exhibition does not necessarily mean that the particular artwork was shown. Where this can be verified, the documentation should ideally

indicate whether the work itself was exhibited, reproduced or merely associated with the period under consideration.

Sources should also be distinguished from interpretations.

An artist's statement about their intentions, an analysis published by a curator and a collector's personal interpretation can all contribute to understanding an artwork. They are not, however, the same kind of information.

Clearly identifying each helps preserve this diversity of perspectives without attributing intentions to the artist that they may not have expressed.

The personal note discussed in Chapter IV can naturally form part of this documentation. It preserves the reasons behind the acquisition while remaining distinct from historical or critical records.

Some contemporary artworks may also be accompanied by materials documenting their creation: studio photographs, sketches, preparatory studies or instructions provided by the artist.

Where these materials are available and may legitimately be retained, they enrich our understanding of the artistic practice and can become valuable sources for future research.

The documentation should not, however, attempt to reconstruct an artificially complete history. Where information remains unknown or uncertain, it is better to acknowledge this than to present a hypothesis as an established fact.

Preserving Technical Information and Monitoring the Artwork's Condition

An artwork's documentation should also make it possible to understand its material characteristics and the conditions required for its preservation.

Photographs taken at the time of acquisition provide an initial reference. They can document the work's overall appearance, details, reverse, inscriptions or constituent elements.

Where a condition report exists, retaining it provides a dated reference point. Subsequent observations can then be compared with this initial record to identify possible changes.

It is also useful to retain conservation treatment reports, information about restoration work and recommendations made by conservation professionals.

Records of an artwork's movements can supplement this information. Condition reports prepared before and after transport, packing details and observations recorded upon receipt can help trace events that may have affected the work.

Such records become particularly important when a collection participates in exhibitions or lends works to institutions.

For artworks incorporating sensitive materials or technical systems, more specific information may be required.

An installation may need an assembly plan, guidance on the arrangement of its components or instructions specifying how it may be adapted to different spaces.

A video or digital artwork may be accompanied by files, technical specifications, playback requirements and information about the equipment needed for its presentation.

In some cases, the artist's instructions may identify which elements must remain unchanged and which may be replaced or adapted. Such guidance is especially important when technological obsolescence threatens to affect how a work can be presented.

Collectors must therefore distinguish between possessing technical documentation and holding the rights required to reproduce, modify or migrate particular elements. Ownership of files or instructions does not necessarily confer every permission needed to use them.

The aim is not to turn every artwork's documentation into a specialist conservation assessment. Rather, it is to preserve the available information and facilitate the involvement of qualified professionals when necessary.

An artwork whose technical characteristics are properly documented will generally be easier to display, maintain and study over time.

Organising Archives for Accessibility, Reliability and Longevity

An artwork's documentation is only genuinely useful if the information it contains can be retrieved.

The organisation can remain simple: a digital folder for each artwork, accompanied by a physical file for original documents that need to be preserved on paper.

The internal inventory number can serve as a common reference linking these two sets of records.

A consistent filing structure makes the documentation easier to consult. It can, for example, distinguish acquisition records, photographs, artistic information, technical documentation and archives relating to exhibitions or movements.

Using consistent file names that combine the inventory number, the type of document and its date, where relevant, also helps reduce confusion.

A complex system is not essential. A modest collection can be adequately documented through organised folders and a regularly updated inventory. For a larger collection, specialist collection-management software may make searching and record-keeping easier.

Whatever method is chosen, consistency in organisation matters more than the sophistication of the tools.

Digital preservation nevertheless requires certain precautions.

Multiple backup copies of important files should be kept, ideally on different storage media or in separate storage environments. Their accessibility should be checked periodically, as a digital document may become unusable because of hardware failure, the discontinuation of a service or the obsolescence of its format.

It may also be advisable to retain original digital files where they exist, rather than keeping only compressed versions or copies optimised for on-screen viewing.

Digitising paper documents makes them easier to access and share, but does not automatically justify destroying the originals, which may have a different evidential or documentary value.

Confidentiality also deserves particular attention. An artwork's documentation may contain financial information, personal contact details, contracts or information about former owners.

Such information does not need to be made available indiscriminately to everyone wishing to study the work. Collectors can establish different levels of access, facilitating research and the sharing of knowledge while protecting sensitive information.

Finally, documentation should evolve alongside the collection.

An exhibition, a loan, a change of storage location, a restoration, a new publication or a revised attribution may all warrant additions to the record.

For each significant event, retaining the date, the nature of the intervention and the associated documents helps maintain a comprehensible history.

This continuity of documentation becomes particularly valuable when responsibility for managing the collection passes to another person, when an artwork is loaned or when its owner is considering its future transfer.

An organised record then allows information to pass from one person responsible for the collection to another, without relying exclusively on the collector's memory.

Documentation cannot guarantee that information will never be lost. It can, however, reduce that risk and preserve the knowledge accumulated over the years.

Documenting an artwork means caring for its history as well as its physical presence. By preserving essential records, artistic sources and technical information, collectors help maintain the conditions necessary for its understanding, conservation and future transmission.

VII. The Role of Galleries and Art Advisors: Expertise, Guidance and Transparency

Acquiring an artwork often involves dialogue with several professionals: gallerists, advisors, dealers, specialists or artists' representatives. Their knowledge of artworks, artistic trajectories and the market can help inform a collector's decisions.

Yet the value of such guidance does not rest solely on the breadth of a professional's knowledge. It also depends on the clarity of their role, the quality of the information they provide and their ability to distinguish verifiable facts from personal assessments.

An artistic recommendation necessarily involves an element of judgement. It may also be made within a commercial relationship in which the interests of those involved are not necessarily aligned.

Understanding who is involved, on whose behalf they act and on what terms is therefore an essential part of a relationship built on trust.

Transparency does not mean that every party must disclose all their commercial or confidential information. Rather, it means enabling collectors to understand the nature of the advice they receive, the interests that may influence it and the limitations of the checks undertaken.

Understanding Who Represents Whom

The role of a gallery differs from that of an art advisor engaged exclusively by a collector, even though their expertise and activities may overlap.

A gallery representing artists generally participates in presenting, promoting and selling their work. It may organise exhibitions, produce publications, maintain relationships with institutions and support the development of artists' careers.

This relationship often gives the gallery an in-depth understanding of the practices of the artists it represents. It may have access to information obtained directly from their studios, follow the development of their artistic enquiries and document works from the time of their creation.

The gallery also occupies a commercial position. When recommending a work from its own programme, it is generally involved in a transaction in which it has a financial interest.

That interest does not invalidate the quality of its curatorial analysis. It should simply be understood as a characteristic of the relationship.

An art advisor engaged by a collector may fulfil a different function. Their role is to guide the collector's decisions, source works that correspond to their objectives, assess available opportunities and, depending on the agreed mandate, assist with certain stages of an acquisition.

Where advisory services are structurally independent of any selling activity, that separation may reduce certain conflicts of interest. It does not, however, relieve the professional of the responsibility to explain their methods, sources and remuneration arrangements.

Other forms of guidance also exist. A gallery may offer advisory services extending beyond the artists it represents, while an intermediary may source a work on behalf of a buyer without maintaining an ongoing relationship with them.

These different models should not be conflated.

When consulting a gallery that also offers advisory services, collectors may find it useful to establish whether the proposed works come from the gallery's own programme, other galleries, independent artists or the secondary market.

It is equally important to understand whether the professional is acting as the seller, the seller's representative, an intermediary or an advisor to the buyer.

The same organisation may perform several of these functions depending on the transaction. Their coexistence nevertheless requires clearly defined responsibilities and particular attention to situations in which interests may diverge.

Providing advice does not automatically give a professional the authority to conclude an acquisition on a collector's behalf. Where such authority is granted, its scope and the conditions under which it may be exercised should be clearly defined.

The fundamental question is therefore not which model is invariably superior, but what position each professional occupies and what commitments they make to the collector.

Where an advisory relationship is expected to continue over time, a written agreement can usefully define the scope of the engagement, the services to be provided, remuneration arrangements, potential conflicts of interest and the conditions under which the relationship may end. Such an agreement helps clarify each party's commitments without necessarily making the relationship unduly complex.

Understanding the Basis of a Recommendation

One of the most valuable contributions a gallery or art advisor can make lies in their ability to place artworks in context.

A professional can help collectors situate a work within an artist's practice, understand the distinctive features of a series, examine the references informing an artistic approach or consider possible relationships between different acquisitions.

Such expertise makes it possible to move beyond a descriptive presentation or a simple aesthetic judgement.

The range of recommendations also depends on each intermediary's knowledge, professional relationships and access to artworks. Even a well-considered selection does not necessarily provide an exhaustive overview of the works or artists that might

interest a collector. Understanding the extent of that expertise helps collectors assess the scope of the advice they receive.

A genuinely useful recommendation should also be capable of explanation.

When a professional describes an artwork as particularly representative of a period, they should be able to explain the basis for that assessment: comparisons with other works, knowledge of the artist's practice, available documentation or their own curatorial analysis.

Similarly, when referring to an artist's institutional recognition, it is important to distinguish documented exhibitions, actual museum acquisitions, critical publications and prospects for future development that remain speculative.

Rigour does not mean eliminating all interpretation, which would be impossible in artistic matters. It means making the relationship between the available information and the judgement being offered understandable.

This transparency is particularly important when a professional recommends an emerging artist.

They may identify a distinctive artistic approach, observe changes within a practice or consider a particular line of enquiry worthy of attention. They cannot, however, guarantee the artist's future institutional recognition or the future market value of their work.

Expertise is also reflected in the ability to present several possibilities.

A collector interested in a particular artist may, for example, be considering a work characteristic of their established practice alongside a more experimental creation. Rather than asserting that one is necessarily the better choice, a professional can explain what each reveals about the artist's enquiry and how the two works respond differently to the buyer's aspirations.

They may also recommend further research, examining other artworks or postponing a decision when the available information appears insufficient.

The quality of advice should therefore not be measured by the number of acquisitions it helps bring about.

It is also reflected in the ability to broaden a collector's options, express reservations when necessary and respect a decision that does not result in a transaction.

Making Remuneration and Financial Interests Clear

Transparency also concerns the financial arrangements underlying professional guidance.

Art market professionals may be remunerated through different models. A gallery representing an artist generally receives a share of the proceeds from sales under the terms agreed with that artist. An art advisor may charge fixed fees, fees based on time spent, a percentage linked to acquisitions or a combination of these arrangements.

Some intermediaries also participate in transactions involving shared commissions, payments from sellers or commercial agreements with other professionals.

A professional's remuneration model can influence their financial incentives. A fixed fee and a commission calculated as a percentage of an acquisition price do not necessarily create the same interests. No single model guarantees independence of judgement or the quality of the service provided, but understanding how remuneration works allows collectors to assess the nature of the advice they receive and the interests that may influence it.

Collectors should, in particular, be able to establish whether they are paying a professional directly for advice, whether that professional receives a commission linked to the transaction or whether other relevant financial interests exist.

When the same professional advises a buyer while also being remunerated by the seller, a conflict of interest may arise. A recommendation could then favour the completion of a sale rather than respond exclusively to the collector's interests.

Disclosing a conflict of interest is an important precaution, but disclosure alone is not always sufficient to make an arrangement acceptable or legally permissible. Depending on the nature of the mandate and the applicable law, certain circumstances may require the affected party's consent, additional safeguards or the professional's decision to refrain from acting.

Similar attention should be paid to artworks in which a professional has a direct financial interest, as well as to any referral commissions paid between intermediaries.

When an artwork is sourced through another gallery or an external dealer, it is useful to clarify how the transaction will be handled: who determines the asking price, who invoices the work, how the advisory service is remunerated and how any commercial benefits or discounts obtained are treated.

These questions do not mean that collectors are entitled to access every confidential agreement between a gallery and its artists or commercial partners. Rather, they aim to identify the information necessary to understand the collector's own transaction and the interests surrounding it.

Financial transparency therefore helps establish a professional relationship in which relevant responsibilities, commitments and remuneration arrangements are understood before an acquisition.

It also protects the credibility of the advice by allowing curatorial assessments to be distinguished from the commercial interests associated with a sale.

Understanding What a Professional Can Actually Verify

Professional guidance can help reduce certain uncertainties, but it does not necessarily eliminate them.

A gallery working directly with an artist may have access to first-hand information about the creation, identification and early history of their works. This close relationship facilitates documentation and may make certain checks more straightforward.

On the secondary market, circumstances may be different. Available documents may be incomplete, some earlier transactions may be difficult to trace and information about previous owners may be subject to confidentiality obligations.

A professional may then examine the documents provided, cross-check their contents, seek additional references and identify questions requiring further investigation.

It is nevertheless important to distinguish three situations: information that has been directly verified, information provided by a third party without independent confirmation and an interpretation based on the available evidence.

This distinction is particularly relevant to provenance, authenticity, exhibition history and condition.

A professional may, for example, confirm that an artwork appears in an identifiable catalogue without necessarily being able to verify its entire ownership history. They may possess a certificate of authenticity without themselves having the expertise required to authenticate the work independently. Similarly, a condition report supplied by a seller does not always replace an examination by a conservator-restorer when specialist assessment is necessary.

The value of rigorous professional guidance therefore also lies in recognising the limits of one’s own expertise.

Where circumstances warrant it, a gallery or art advisor may recommend consulting a specialist in the artist’s work, a conservator-restorer, an independent expert or a legal professional.

Such external advice may be particularly relevant when an artwork has a disputed attribution, a complex provenance, unusual material requirements or specific legal issues.

Consulting an expert does not, however, provide an absolute guarantee. It is important to understand the expert’s area of competence, the nature of their assignment and any limitations attached to their conclusions.

Certain information may legitimately remain confidential. Such confidentiality must nevertheless be distinguished from legal obligations to verify or disclose information. When essential information cannot be shared or confirmed, the professional should explain that limitation, in accordance with the applicable rules, so that the collector can assess its implications.

Serious professional guidance should therefore not be confused with a promise of certainty.

The absence of a guaranteed outcome does not, however, relieve a professional of any applicable duty of care or obligation to provide information, nor does it exclude potential liability under the terms of their engagement or applicable law.

Rigorous guidance thus involves identifying relevant information, explaining which checks have been carried out, acknowledging those that remain impossible or incomplete, and helping collectors assess the implications of any uncertainties that persist.

Supporting Acquisitions and the Long-Term Development of a Collection

The involvement of a gallery or art advisor may extend beyond selecting an individual artwork.

Depending on the nature of the relationship and the services agreed, the professional may help organise communications with the seller, coordinate certain checks, assist with preparing documentation or direct collectors towards specialist providers of transport, insurance, installation and conservation services.

Such coordination can be particularly useful when an acquisition involves several countries or artworks requiring specific presentation conditions.

Transparency should, however, extend to these services as well.

It is useful to establish which tasks are undertaken directly, which are entrusted to external providers, what additional expenses may arise and who is responsible for each part of the process.

A recommendation of a transport provider, insurer or conservator-restorer may be based on genuine professional experience. It does not necessarily constitute a guarantee of results. If the recommendation involves remuneration or a commercial benefit, that relationship should also be clarified.

Over the longer term, a professional may help a collector reflect on the development of a collection, suggest artistic directions, facilitate documentary research or assist in preparing a loan, an exhibition or a possible resale.

The scope of such support depends on the expertise involved and the terms of the agreed mandate. The initial sale of an artwork does not automatically entail an unlimited commitment to its conservation, management or future resale.

This distinction is particularly important when a collector is considering selling a work.

Knowledge of an artist and their market can help assess the available resale options. It cannot, however, guarantee the availability of a buyer, the time required to complete a transaction or the price ultimately achieved.

The terms of any involvement in a resale, including commissions and other financial interests, should therefore be clarified just as they would be for the original acquisition.

A lasting professional relationship may also develop through exchanges that do not lead directly to a sale: discovering new artists, visiting exhibitions, sharing publications or reflecting on works already in the collection.

Such guidance is most valuable when it helps deepen the collector’s knowledge and progressively strengthen their independence.

The role of a gallery or art advisor is not to replace the collector’s judgement, but to give them the means to make decisions with greater knowledge and discernment. Trust is then built on expertise that can explain its recommendations, make its interests understandable, acknowledge its limitations and respect the buyer’s freedom to decide.

VIII. Allowing a Collection to Evolve: From Acquisition to Long-Term Engagement

Acquiring an artwork marks the beginning of a relationship that may last for decades.

Over time, a collector's tastes evolve, artists continue their enquiries and the artworks themselves move through different contexts of display, conservation and sometimes ownership.

A collection is therefore not a permanently fixed ensemble. It has its own history, shaped as much by acquisitions as by decisions about conservation, encounters, research and the choices that accompany its evolution.

This dimension of time invites collectors to consider their responsibilities beyond the initial transaction.

Caring for a collection does not necessarily mean seeking to preserve it intact or ensuring that it eventually enters an institution. Rather, it means considering the conditions under which its artworks can continue to be understood, preserved and, where appropriate, shared or passed on.

Living with Artworks and Planning for Their Conservation

An artwork acquired to be enjoyed in everyday life must also be kept in conditions compatible with its characteristics.

Requirements vary considerably according to materials, techniques and methods of display.

A painting, a photograph, a sculpture combining several materials or an installation incorporating electronic components will not necessarily have the same sensitivities. Some works may be particularly vulnerable to light, fluctuations in humidity or temperature, repeated handling or changes in the equipment required for their operation.

Preventive conservation involves identifying these risks and taking proportionate measures to limit them before deterioration occurs.

In a domestic setting, this may include considering direct sunlight, proximity to heat sources, humidity, the security of hanging systems and the storage conditions of works that are not on display.

There is, however, no universal solution. Precautions appropriate for a work on paper may differ from those required for a contemporary sculpture or a work incorporating industrial materials.

Where an artwork has particular technical characteristics, it is preferable to follow guidance available from the artist, their studio or conservation professionals rather than applying general methods that may prove unsuitable.

This approach also applies to interventions intended to preserve an artwork's appearance.

Cleaning, altering a mounting system or carrying out repairs without sufficient knowledge of the materials can cause changes that are difficult to reverse. When a concerning change is observed, seeking specialist advice may be more prudent than intervening immediately.

Conservation also involves regular monitoring.

Periodically reviewing display conditions, checking the safety of installations and reassessing insurance arrangements helps adapt the management of a collection to changing circumstances.

Insurance cover should be reviewed in relation to the nature and location of the works, the risks covered, exclusions and the conditions applying to their movement. A declared or estimated value does not, in itself, guarantee the amount of any eventual compensation.

Finally, some artworks require a sustained material and financial commitment.

Replacing equipment, maintaining technical systems, providing appropriate storage or arranging specialist interventions may involve costs extending well beyond the initial purchase.

Anticipating these needs does not diminish the aesthetic dimension of collecting. On the contrary, it helps preserve the conditions in which artworks can continue to be displayed and appreciated.

Allowing a Collection to Evolve Without Losing Independence of Judgement

A collector's perspective may change over time. New experiences, developments in artists' practices and historical or critical research can alter how particular works are understood. An artwork initially appreciated for its formal qualities may reveal other dimensions several years after its acquisition.

Allowing a collection to evolve does not necessarily mean expanding it. Deepening one's knowledge of existing works, reconsidering their relationships or exploring new perspectives can contribute just as much to its development.

Collectors may also wish to change the direction of their collections.

They may choose to develop an area that was previously secondary, strengthen a dialogue between particular works or, conversely, stop pursuing a direction that no longer reflects their interests.

Such decisions need not necessarily be justified by market trends or changes in the market standing of the artists concerned.

A private collection remains, above all, a space for choice and interpretation.

Some artworks may also be sold or otherwise transferred over time, particularly when personal circumstances change or collectors wish to give their collections a new direction.

Such a decision does not automatically mean that the original acquisition was a mistake or that the artwork's artistic significance has diminished.

It nevertheless deserves careful consideration, taking account of conservation requirements, available documentation, any contractual commitments and the applicable legal or tax implications.

Resale opportunities may be limited, and the amount realised will not necessarily correspond to the original purchase price or to estimates provided by intermediaries.

The freedom to allow a collection to evolve therefore also involves accepting that some decisions may be made without the prospect of recovering the original financial outlay.

A coherent collection is not necessarily an unchanging one. Its coherence may lie in the continuity of the collector's perspective, even as its direction evolves.

Sharing Artworks: Loans, Exhibitions and Access to the Collection

A private collection may remain primarily intended for personal enjoyment. It may also, if its owner wishes, contribute to the wider circulation of artworks and knowledge.

Lending a work for an exhibition is one of the most direct possibilities.

A loan may allow an artwork to become part of a curatorial presentation, be shown in a new context or contribute to research concerning an artist, a period or a particular question.

Not every loan request, however, necessarily warrants agreement.

Collectors may wish to consider the relevance of the project, the proposed display conditions, the requirements of moving the artwork and the risks to which it may be exposed.

The decision should take account of both the exhibition's artistic significance and the practical conditions under which the loan would be arranged.

A written agreement will generally help clarify the duration of the loan, the respective responsibilities of the parties, display and conservation requirements, and arrangements for transport and return.

It is particularly important to establish who has custody of the artwork at each stage, how responsibility for loss or damage is allocated, including during outward and return transport, and what insurance or other guarantees are provided. The period of cover, the risks insured and the principal exclusions should be examined before the work is dispatched.

Contractual provisions must also be considered in light of the applicable law, which may impose particular obligations on the borrower.

Depending on the artwork and the circumstances, condition reports prepared before and after its movement may be an important precaution.

Expenses relating to packing, transport, installation and any necessary specialist intervention should also be clarified.

For certain works, particularly complex installations or digital creations, display arrangements may require consultation with the artist, their representatives or technical specialists.

Sharing an artwork may also take the form of reproduction in a catalogue, publication in an academic work or digital presentation.

It is then important to distinguish physical ownership of the artwork from the rights required to reproduce it or communicate it to the public.

Exhibiting the original artwork may be governed by specific rules, distinct from those applying to its reproduction in a publication or the dissemination of its image online.

Depending on the circumstances and applicable law, these uses may require permission from the relevant rights holders, even when the collector physically owns the work. Rights relating to the photographs used may also need to be checked.

The owner of an artwork is therefore not necessarily entitled to authorise every reproduction proposed by a borrowing institution.

A collection can also contribute to research without the artworks themselves being moved.

Reliable documentation, photographs that may lawfully be used for the intended purpose and controlled access to certain information can also facilitate the work of researchers, curators and institutions.

Collectors naturally retain the ability to define the limits of such access, particularly for reasons of confidentiality, security or conservation.

There is no general obligation to make a private collection publicly accessible in order for it to have cultural value.

Nevertheless, when opportunities to share works correspond to a collector’s intentions and respect conservation requirements, they can enrich the understanding of artworks beyond their usual setting.

Planning for the Future and Considering Different Forms of Transfer

As a collection develops, questions about its future may become increasingly important.

Such considerations are not limited to major collections or ensembles intended to enter an institution. Even a relatively modest collection may require decisions when responsibility for it passes to another person, when it is divided among several beneficiaries or when it is transferred through inheritance.

Planning for its future begins with a simple question: will those who eventually have to make decisions about the artworks possess the information needed to identify them and understand their particular characteristics?

The inventory, acquisition documents and archives assembled over time become especially important in this context.

They may be supplemented by guidance on conservation requirements, useful professional contacts, existing commitments and any technical constraints associated with particular works.

Such preparation can also be valuable when a collector is temporarily or permanently unable to manage their artworks. Those authorised to act must then be able to locate essential information, identify appropriate professional contacts and make the decisions necessary to preserve the works within the scope of their authority.

It is also important to distinguish a collector’s personal intentions from arrangements that have legal effect.

Wishing for an artwork to remain within the family, pass to a particular person or enter a cultural institution does not necessarily guarantee that this intention can be fulfilled.

A gift made during the collector’s lifetime must, in particular, be distinguished from a bequest made through a will. Depending on the applicable law, acceptance by the recipient and certain formal requirements may determine the validity of a lifetime gift, while testamentary provisions and their implementation are governed by succession law.

Succession rules, the circumstances of beneficiaries, applicable taxation, potential contractual restrictions and the characteristics of the artwork can all influence the practical possibilities for transferring ownership.

In an international succession, the applicable law is not necessarily that of the country where the artworks are located. Among the states participating in the EU Succession Regulation, the applicable law is, in principle, determined by the deceased’s habitual residence, subject in particular to a valid choice of the law of their nationality. Tax matters are governed by separate rules. [1]

Appropriate notarial, legal or tax advice may therefore be necessary to determine suitable arrangements and assess their consequences.

The possibility of donating an artwork to a museum or another institution deserves particular attention.

An institution is not automatically obliged to accept a donation, even when it recognises the artwork's artistic significance. Its decision may depend on its acquisition policy, resources, conservation capacity and the work's relevance to its collections.

A proposed donation should therefore be approached as a dialogue with the institution concerned, rather than as a destination secured in advance. Acceptance and the terms of transfer must be established in accordance with the relevant procedures and applicable rules.

Collectors may also wish certain works to remain together. This intention may have genuine personal or curatorial significance, but its fulfilment will depend on the legal, practical and financial circumstances of those who eventually assume responsibility for the collection.

In other situations, distribution among several beneficiaries, a sale or reorganisation of the collection may be more appropriate.

There is no single model for a successful transfer.

What matters is planning sufficiently far ahead for future decisions to be made on an informed basis, without imposing obligations on beneficiaries that they may be unable to fulfil.

Preparing for a collection's future does not therefore necessarily mean determining its ultimate destination. It means preserving options, clarifying intentions and making decisions easier for those who will eventually assume responsibility for it.

Sustaining Artistic Engagement Over Time

Engagement with artworks does not end with their acquisition. It can continue through sustained attention to artists' enquiries and the contexts in which their practices evolve.

Within contemporary African and diasporic art, this attention may also extend to the practical conditions under which works are created and circulated, independent initiatives and exchanges between different art scenes.

A collector's engagement can take many forms.

It may involve following new artistic developments, contributing to documentation projects or, where possible and desired, participating in exhibitions and research initiatives.

Regularly acquiring works by the same artist is not the only way to express such engagement.

A respectful relationship also acknowledges the artist's autonomy and the fact that their practice does not necessarily develop according to collectors' expectations or market trends.

The cultural value of a collection does not depend solely on the institutional visibility of its artworks or the prestige of the names it brings together.

It may also lie in the quality of the connections it establishes, the knowledge it preserves and the attention it gives to practices whose recognition remains limited or is still developing.

This dimension cannot be fully measured through commercial indicators.

It requires time, curiosity and a willingness to learn, including when new information leads to a reassessment of earlier judgements.

In this sense, collecting can become a form of long-term engagement with artworks and the artistic practices they embody, without implying unlimited responsibility or an ongoing obligation to acquire.

Sustaining a collection over time does not mean keeping it unchanged, expanding it indefinitely or securing its future recognition. It means preserving artworks with discernment, allowing the collector's perspective to evolve and keeping open, as far as possible, the conditions for their continued understanding and future transmission.

LEGAL REFERENCE

[1] Regulation (EU) No 650/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 July 2012, particularly Articles 21 and 22, concerning the law applicable to succession and the choice of law. The Regulation does not apply in Denmark or Ireland. Tax matters fall outside its scope (Article 1(1)). Official text: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32012R0650

Conclusion – Collecting with Discernment, Building for the Long Term

Building a collection of contemporary art is not about following a single method or pursuing a succession of ideal acquisitions. It is a personal undertaking that develops through encounters with artworks, growing knowledge and decisions made over time.

The collector's own perspective remains central. It benefits, however, from an understanding of artistic practices, individual trajectories, the workings of the art market and the responsibilities that come with owning artworks.

In the field of contemporary African and diasporic art, this approach also calls for recognising the diversity of artistic scenes and forms of expression without reducing them to uniform geographical or cultural categories. Each artwork deserves to be considered on its own terms, with attention to the contexts that inform its interpretation, but without allowing those contexts alone to determine its artistic value.

The quality of a collection cannot be measured solely by the scale of its holdings, its financial value or the institutional recognition of the artists it brings together. It may also lie in the coherence of the collector's perspective, the relevance of the connections drawn between works and the attention given to artworks whose meanings continue to evolve.

Collecting with discernment therefore means preserving an essential freedom: the freedom to question recommendations, distinguish facts from interpretations, acknowledge uncertainty and, at times, choose not to acquire.

With this freedom comes a responsibility that extends beyond the purchase itself. Documenting artworks, attending to their conservation and considering the conditions under which they may be shared or passed on all help preserve opportunities to understand and appreciate them in the future.

Beyond individual acquisitions, a collection takes shape through the continuity of the collector's perspective, the quality of the knowledge that accompanies it and the attention paid to artworks throughout their histories.

10 Essential Questions Before Acquiring an Artwork

A final check to help make an informed decision.

Before confirming an acquisition, these ten questions provide an opportunity to review the information available and any remaining uncertainties. They do not offer a guarantee against every risk, but provide a straightforward framework for making decisions with greater discernment.

01 **Why am I interested in this artwork?** Have I identified what truly motivates my choice and the place the work might have within my collection?

02 **How does this artwork fit within the artist's practice?** Do I have a sufficient understanding of its period, the series to which it belongs, its distinctive qualities and its artistic context?

03 **Can I make sense of the asking price?** Have I examined relevant comparisons and distinguished market data from assessments or projections?

04 **Is the total cost within my means?** Have I taken account of taxes, transport, insurance and any conservation requirements?

05 **Is the artwork correctly identified?** Do its characteristics correspond to the photographs, documents and information provided?

06 **Are its provenance and authenticity sufficiently established?** Do I understand the available documents, their significance and any remaining uncertainties?

07 **Is the seller authorised to sell the artwork?** Have I identified their role and any intermediaries involved in the transaction?

08 **Are the artwork's condition and conservation requirements known?** Have I obtained the information needed to understand the practical responsibilities involved in caring for the work?

09 **Are the financial interests of those advising me transparent?** Do I understand their fees, commissions and any potential conflicts of interest?

10 **Are the terms of acquisition sufficiently clear?** Before committing, do I understand the payment and delivery arrangements, when ownership and risk pass, the documents I will receive and any available remedies?

An uncertain answer does not necessarily mean deciding against an acquisition. It may simply indicate that further information, verification or specialist advice is needed before making a decision.

Michel de Jong Vázquez